# WHY BRITAIN'S POLICE AREN'T WORTH A JEWISH FINGERNAIL BY THE RIGHT HONOURABLE GERALD KAUFMAN MP AND THE LEADERS OF THE ANGLO-JEWISH ESTABLISHMENT

**2nd Edition** **2nd Printing**

**We mourn the death of PC Dunne**
**and utterly condemn this callous, senseless murder.**

**Do we fuck!**

**He wasn't worth a Jewish fingernail.**

**The shocking truth about how public money is used to incite the murders of policemen with the approval of Gerald Kaufman MP, Bindman & Partners (solicitors), *Searchlight* magazine and the *Jewish Chronicle* newspaper.**

**"One million Arabs aren't worth a Jewish fingernail." Attributed to the Zionist-indoctrinated rabbi, Yaacov Perrin , at the funeral of the mass murderer Barukh Goldstein.**

**This pamphlet was researched and written entirely by Alexander Baron; the cartoon strip *Chaney of the Yard* was written and illustrated by someone who believes Britain's police deserve better. This publication is dedicated to the arch-liars and Machiavellian schemers of Organised Zionist Jewry, in particular to Neville Nagler and Mike Whine.**

**This is the second printing of the second edition of this pamphlet; in the first printing the cartoon strip *Chaney of the Yard* was referred to as *Chainey of the Yard*; this was of course a typographical error and I apologise for any embarrassment caused to any serving police officer(s) of the same name. A minor typo has also been corrected on page 9.**

**Many thanks to Rita Broadway of Cargreen Road Social Security office for her generous donation of £100 towards the cost of this second edition, and to Simon Ovens of Sutton CID for his donation and thoughtful letter. Thanks too to Robin Lewis for his suggestion re the cartoons and free legal advice.**

# In The Line Of Duty

**On August 12, 1966, three police officers were gunned down in cold blood on a West London street. In December of that year, three men led by former soldier turned professional criminal Harry Roberts were gaoled for life for these callous, senseless murders. Since the Braybrook Street Massacre, over fifty British police officers have been killed in the line of duty, (1) and a great many more have been injured, many of them grievously.**

**Below, culled at random from the pages of the *Times*, is a very partial list of some of the British police officers assaulted, maimed and murdered while serving the public over the past forty and more years. Turn the page to find out what Britain's top Jews think of them. And of you.**

# The Roll Of Honour

**PC Sidney Miles: shot dead by 16 year old Christopher Craig in Croydon on November 2, 1952. Craig's more than willing half-wit accomplice Derek Bentley was hanged for this senseless murder. Good riddance to bad rubbish, we say.**
**PC George Searle: attacked by 3 men in London on July 10, 1956.**
**PC Frank Hulme: died December 12, 1958 of injuries incurred while trying to break up a fight between two gangs of drunken hooligans. Manslaughter charges against his assailants were later dropped.**
**PC Raymond Summers: stabbed to death December 14, 1958.**
**PC Geoffrey Fox, DS Christopher Head and DC David Wombwell: three plainclothes officers - gunned down near Wormwood Scrubs Prison, August 12, 1966 by the Harry Roberts gang in what was to become known as the Braybrook Street massacre.**
**PC Roger Weaver: battered and seriously wounded with an axe, January 18, 1968. He had been trying to break up a fight.**
**DC Lionel Morgan, a British Transport officer: beaten unconscious at London's Liverpool Street Station on November 3, 1972. Only prompt action by a doctor saved his life.**
**Sergeant Lawrence Roberts: injured by a bomb (2) exploding in a police car pound, September 3, 1973. Also injured were Inspector Ian Thompson and PC Tom Nixon.**
**PC Dennis Smith: shot dead at Torquay, December 21, 1973. Fifteen hundred people attended his funeral.**
**PC Desmond Kellam: probationary officer, died October 3, 1979. He was found lying in the street.**
**PC Philip Olds: shot and paralysed for life December 23, 1980 at Hayes, Middlesex. Tragically, he later committed suicide.**
**WPC Yvonne Fletcher: shot dead by a sniper during a political siege in London in April 1984. She was 25 years old. Her killer has never been brought to justice.**
**Sergeant John Speed: shot dead outside a Leeds church on October 31, 1984, the day after his 39th birthday. PC John Thorpe was wounded and left lying in a pool of blood by the same gunman, and PC John Raj was shot at but fortunately not hit, when he gave pursuit.**
**PC Keith Blakelock: hacked to death in the Broadwater Farm riot, October 6, 1985. His killers have never been caught.**
**PC John Taylor: fell to his death from a rooftop while attempting to arrest a burglar in November 1986.**
**PC Tony Geary: survived a murder attempt, shot with a crossbow July 31, 1987.**
**PC Frank Mason: shot dead attempting to make an arrest while off-duty, April 14, 1990.**
**PC Laurence Brown: shot dead while making a routine inquiry, August 28, 1990.**
**PC Patrick Dunne: shot dead October 20, 1993. His murderer is still at large.**
**Sergeant Derek Robertson: stabbed to death at New Addington, Surrey, February 9, 1994. He had been a police officer for 21 years.**
**PC George Hammond: died December 13, 1995, eleven years after being stabbed as he tackled a robber. PC Hammond, who was awarded the Queen's Police Medal, lost a kidney and needed a transfusion of 300 pints of blood after the attack.**

## This Is What Britain's Top Jews Think Of Britain's Police

FUCKALL FUCKALL FUCKALL
FUCKALL FUCKALL FUCKALL
FUCKALL FUCKALL FUCKALL
FUCKALL FUCKALL FUCKALL
FUCKALL FUCKALL FUCKALL
FUCKALL FUCKALL FUCKALL
FUCKALL FUCKALL FUCKALL
FUCKALL FUCKALL FUCKALL
FUCKALL FUCKALL FUCKALL
FUCKALL FUCKALL FUCKALL
FUCKALL FUCKALL FUCKALL
FUCKALL FUCKALL FUCKALL
FUCKALL FUCKALL FUCKALL
FUCKALL FUCKALL FUCKALL
FUCKALL FUCKALL FUCKALL

# A Message To Britain's Police

**Hi there, I'm sure that you are wondering what this is all about, so I'll tell you. It'll take a few minutes, but it's a fascinating story, so I hope you'll bear with me.**

**My name is Alexander Baron, and for the past few years I've been researching a (supposedly) controversial subject: propaganda and disinformation. This includes, but is by no means limited to, anti-Semitic propaganda. In 1990, I made the acquaintance of an Orthodox Rabbi who had similar interests, and two years later we decided to set up a publishing project specialising in Jewish issues. The following April and May, having obtained a mailing list from another rabbi, we sent out a fund-raising letter to a large number of Jews. On May 14, 1993, an article published in the *Jewish Chronicle*, written by a little Zionist creep named Julian Kossoff accused us of running a hate campaign against Jews.**

**This scurrilous article quoted another Zionist creep, a character by the name of Mike Whine, who seems to think he is some sort of spokesman for the Jewish religion, instead of the shit-stirrer, hatemonger and arch-liar that he really is. Whine accused my Rabbi friend and myself of being behind a long-running campaign to incite hatred against Jews. Subsequently I was raided by the police; the officer in charge was a Jew named Christopher Chainey. Chainey seized my computer and a great deal of other property, and held onto it for months on the clearly spurious pretext that it was *evidence* of some heinous crime. He also leaked my name to the *Jewish Chronicle*; (no need to ask where his first loyalties lie). In the meantime I continued my publishing activities within my limited means.**

**Later that same year I sent out a pamphlet called *Charity Begins At Home* to a number of the people on the same mailing list we'd used before. This pamphlet attacked the despotic Israeli régime, and pointed out that a number of well-documented atrocities had been perpetrated against Palestinians by the Israeli security services earlier that year, including the cold-blooded murders of a large number of children. (3) It urged Jews not to send money to Israel. The same piece of slime - Mike Whine - who branded my Rabbi friend and I anti-Semitic propagandists, referred this pamphlet to the Attorney General and asked him to prosecute me for "inciting hatred against Jews". (4) These are the sort of scum and filth who represent themselves as the spokesmen for the Jewish religion.**

**For the record, the Israeli government doesn't just murder children, it authorises the torture of suspects in custody! On August 25, 1995 an article in the *Daily Telegraph* revealed this quite candidly to the world. (5) In view of some of the criticism that is directed at the British police from time to time, often over relatively trivial incidents, this will doubtless stick in your craw.**

**The following February, after I had been cleared of all hatemongering charges, and after DS Chainey had done the bidding of his masters at Woburn House, (6) *and* after I had been attacked by a group of Zionist-sponsored thugs, Whine and his scumbag friends at the *Jewish Chronicle* libelled me again with the obvious intention of inciting further violence against me. They knew damn well that I'd already been the victim of a mallet attack because Whine and his hatemongering co-racialist Neville Nagler had been present at the House of Commons on December 8 when I'd served a libel writ on one of their buddies, and they'd heard me refer to the attack then. On top of all this it came to my notice in the Autumn of 1994 that an excerpt from my pamphlet *Charity Begins At Home* had been included in a so-called report on anti-Semitism published by the (so-called) Runnymede Commission on Antisemitism which claimed, falsely, that it was an example of anti-Zionist rhetoric that was "clearly anti-Semitic", and also that the pamphlet had been distributed by anti-Semitic organisations on both sides of the Atlantic. (7) Another damned lie. (8) What has all this to do with you, you say? Hold your horses, all will soon be revealed.**

**In December 1993, I instituted libel proceedings against a Jewish-owned magazine; (9) later I issued another libel writ, and this time I included a number of bookshops, in particular Housmans Bookshop in Caledonian Road, Kings Cross; (10) and the Centerprise Bookshop in Kingsland High Street, Hackney. I can't say too much about these libel proceedings because even though I have now settled with Housmans and Centerprise, they are still ongoing against other parties. I can say though that, as part of their defences, both these shops had accused my publications of promoting a philosophy of hatred and violence. So, in the course of my researches I visited them to see the sort of publications they were stocking, and what I found shocked me.**

**At both bookshops, I found on sale two anti-police hate sheets: *Class War* and *Green Anarchist*. The February/March 1995 issue of *Class War* contained a direct and explicit incitement to murder Britain's Judges on the front page. A photograph of some of Britain's senior judges bore the legend *execute these scumbags*. The same hate sheet contained a direct incitement to murder a named individual, the Government Minister Michael Portillo.**

That was bad enough, but the Spring '95 issue of *Green Anarchist* contained an article praising the murders of three French policemen by two *anarchists* the previous October, and ended with the words: "Up against racist cops - why make excuses?" Another direct incitement to murder: this time against you.

# Britain's Top Jews Put Two Fingers Up To Britain's Police

In 1982, a cartoonist was gaoled for a year for publishing an anti-Semitic comic. Yes, a comic! (11) There have been a number of prosecutions and gaolings both before and since for similar and, for the most part, less serious "offences". Most of the legislation in this country making "incitement to racial hatred" a criminal offence has been the work of Organised Jewry. They may whine, wail and scream about this in public, but in their own publications they literally boast about it. (12) Considering the broad definition Britain's top Jews give to incitements against their race, and the hysteria they kick up about it, one would surely expect these thoroughly respectable, law-abiding people to be outraged at direct incitements to murder judges and police officers. Not so!

After forwarding copies of the offending *Class War* and *Green Anarchist* newspapers to the Police Commissioner and chasing up the letter, I heard nothing, so I wrote to nine of Britain's top Jews urging them to write to the Commissioner to call for the prosecution of these hate sheets and the shops that stock them. Only two of them replied, one of them simply acknowledged receipt of the letter. The other seven ignored my communication completely, and two of them actually tried to have me thrown into gaol for writing to them! (13) The full text of one of my letters is reproduced in Appendix A; the texts of all of them are much the same. The people I wrote to were, in alphabetical order, as follows:

Geoffrey Bindman - senior partner in the London firm of solicitors Bindman & Partners. Bindman has a long track record of meddling in race issues and drafting "race relations" legislation.

Israel Finestein QC - now retired; Finestein was a circuit judge from 1972-87.

Gerry Gable - self-style anti-fascist and arch-liar whose Searchlight Organisation has led the police up the garden path on a number of occasions. (14)

Gerald Kaufman MP. Believe it or not, this guy is actually a moderate Zionist - which probably means that he believes a million Arabs *are* worth a Jewish fingernail. Kaufman was Shadow Home Secretary 1983-7; rumour has it that his personal assistant who was found dead in mysterious circumstances a couple of years ago was a closet queen.

Dr Anthony Kushner - a leading Jewish academic. With people like him writing history the need for Revisionism has never been stronger.

Sir Ivan Lawrence QC MP - Chairman of the Home Affairs Committee. Vulnerable to pressure from Organised Jewry but basically a good egg.

Neville Nagler - another race industry shit-stirrer. Nagler has worked for the Race Relations & Equal Opportunities quangoes and has been Chief Executive of the Board of Deputies of "British" Jews since 1991. His entry in *Who's Who* lists wine-making as a hobby. (This is obviously a misprint and should read whine-making).

Eldred Tabachnik QC - President of the Board of Deputies since 1994.

Ned Temko - Editor of the *Jewish Chronicle* since 1990. He is also the author of a hagiographic biography of Menachem Begin, the terrorist mass murderer who went on to become Prime Minister of Israel.

The reason I wrote to the above top Jews is very simple. As stated, Organised Jewry has been in the forefront of the movement to ban (what they call) hate literature. Now I propose to take a closer look at some of this so-called hate literature, and the real reasons they want to ban it, as opposed to the reasons they give. We'll start with Gerald Kaufman, but first we'll introduce another major - if reluctant - player in this sordid affair, Colin Jordan. And a minor player, Lady Birdwood.

Lady Birdwood is a long time anti-immigration campaigner. She is also more than a little on the gullible side, and in 1991 when some mischief-maker sent her a batch of leaflets accusing Jews of practising ritual murder she took them at face value and mailed out hundreds of them to warn her fellow Christians; Organised Jewry successfully lobbied the Attorney General to have her prosecuted for this heinous *crime*. Later, she published a booklet called *The Longest Hatred* and mailed out 15,000 at her own expense. *The Longest Hatred* is pure, unbridled anti-Semitica, but it is so insane that no one in his right mind would give it any credence whatsoever. This didn't stop scumbags like Mike Whine and Neville Nagler dragging her into court a second time.

Colin Jordan is a Nazi and an avowed anti-Semite; he has been a Nazi since shortly after the Second World War, and is Britain's undisputed leading National Socialist theoretician. He has never made any secret of his political beliefs, and indeed has always shouted them from the rooftops, and does so to this day. And in this - supposedly - democratic country, there is no reason he shouldn't. Mr Jordan is now an old man; like all good National Socialists he prefers to live out in the sticks where he can commune with Nature. He has a house way out in the countryside, and spends his days brooding over what a wonderful place Britain would have been if Hitler had won the Second World War, and proselytising his National Socialist gospel to any white men who care to listen. He publishes an irregular bulletin called *Gothic Ripples*, and a fair number of pamphlets.

Now it is fair to say that not only does Mr Jordan pride himself on being anti-Jewish, but he sees Jewish influences at work everywhere. One place he saw them at work was in the Gulf at the time of the Gulf War, the Mother of all Wars, as Saddam Hussein called it. It is fair to say that Mr Jordan blamed Organised Jewry for the Gulf War rather than the geo-political manoeuvres and deceptions of the Bush Administration. He was of course wrong, but it is not a crime to be wrong, and it is certainly not a crime to protest against war. Mr Jordan's protest can be seen in Appendix B. He published a cartoon with a supposedly anti-Semitic caricature: Uncle Sam becomes Uncle Sol.

It is certainly fair to call this cartoon anti-Semitic, or just plain tasteless, but let's be reasonable, cartoons very often are tasteless. They attack politicians, sports personalities, bankers, women, trade unionists, capitalists, drunkards, men of the cloth, policemen, and occasionally they portray racial stereotypes as well. This is not necessarily *racism*: the grasping Asian shopkeeper, the Englishman with a stiff upper lip, the drunken Scotsman, the thick Irishman...everybody with half a brain realises these are stereotypes and treats them with the seriousness they deserve: none. Everybody except Organised Jewry, that is. G_d forbid that a Jew should ever be stereotyped or caricatured. So, when somebody sent a copy of this cartoon to Gerald Kaufman, he blew his lid and informed the powers-that-be that some wicked person - ie one, lone old man who suffers from arthritis - was inciting hatred against the minority everybody loves to hate.

# Gerald Kaufman: Poking Fun Of Jews Is A Sin, But The Police Can Go And Fuck Themselves

Wasn't that terrible? After all, send out a few more of these and Colin Jordan would be able to raise an army of blond-haired blue-eyed thugs to send Mr Kaufman and his friends at Woburn House to the *gas chambers*. Evidently the powers-that-be thought so too, and Mr Jordan's country retreat was raided by - I kid you not - seven police officers.

Acting on the orders of Kaufman, or some other malicious Zionist creep, your brother officers ransacked Mr Jordan's house, taking away some sixty files, the work of a lifetime, and held onto them for eighteen months. However, the warrant on which this property was seized was defective, and Mr Jordan sued successfully for its return. Then he sued the police and settled out of court for a five figure sum. (15)

Kaufman was obviously pleased with his handiwork, but when I sent him copies of the aforementioned anti-police hate sheets and asked him to lobby the Police Commissioner and the Attorney General to prosecute the publishers and distributors of this filth, he didn't give a monkey's. (16) All I got from the odious little creep was a brief note

saying, oh, how shocking. When I wrote to him again he didn't even bother to reply. Gerald Kaufman thinks fuck all of you. He doesn't even think you're worth a Jewish fingernail.

## Israel Finestein: Sickening Hate Literature, But Only If You're Jewish

On June 28, 1991, the *Jewish Chronicle* reported the raid on Colin Jordan's home. A number of prominent Jews were quoted in this article, among them was Israel Finestein, one of the most senior members of British Jewry, and a retired judge to boot. Incredibly, he said the law wasn't strong enough and referred to "sickening" hate literature. One poxy cartoon hardly qualifies as sickening hate literature. That being the case though, I couldn't help thinking that if Judge Finestein thought Mr Jordan's half-witty cartoon sickening and wanted the poor old sod dragged into court and thrown into clink for publishing it, that he would fall over himself to condemn the sickening anti-police hate spewed out by the magazine *Green Anarchist*.

More fool me, Judge Finestein didn't even acknowledge my letter, much less call for the prosecution of these scum who think it's not only funny to gloat over the murders of your brother officers but to incite murder against them. And you. The simple truth is that like Gerald Kaufman, Judge Finestein doesn't give a fuck about you either. Remember that boys and girls. Remember it especially next time you raid some poor, arthritic old man like Colin Jordan and ransack his house for the sake of one poxy cartoon. Remember that the man who initiated the search, some grubby, whining little Zionist Jew like Judge Finestein, doesn't give a fuck about you.

## Eldred Tabachnik QC: Defaming The Chosen Race Is Criminal, But Inciting The Murders Of Police Officers Is Fair Game

Another top Jew who was quoted by the *Jewish Chronicle* following the raid on Colin Jordan was Eldred Tabachnik. I've seen this fat-faced, gloating bastard on TV, and he is not a pretty sight. Still, he is both a QC and an advocate of stronger *anti-hate* legislation. In the same article, Tabachnik and company were said to have published a report which said that at present, to write that X, a Jew is untrustworthy, is defamatory; to write that all Jews are untrustworthy is not. The inference is that Tabachnik and his cabal would like any derogatory comments about Jews made illegal.

Now that would be an abrogation of free speech, but if Mr Tabachnik feels so strongly about poxy name calling and drawing silly cartoons, I reasoned that he would be among the first to call for the prosecution of people who incite the murders of police officers. Not so. He didn't reply to my letter either. Like Finestein and Kaufman, Tabachnik doesn't think you're worth a Jewish fingernail either. Remember that, boys and girls. Remember it especially when you're dragging some poor old sod like Colin Jordan, or the well-meaning but gullible Lady Birdwood into court for publishing insane ravings that no one in his right mind gives the slightest credence to.

## Gerry Gable

Gerry Gable was another top Jew who was quoted in the *Jewish Chronicle* article on the Jordan raid. He is said to have claimed the raid was excellent. For legal reasons I can't comment too heavily on anything he says at the moment, but in 1991, Tony Robson a (Gentile) member of Gable's Searchlight Organisation, commenting on the then recent conviction of Lady Birdwood told a newspaper that "We have been campaigning for her to be tried since 1968." Robson added: "She should have got life." (17)

Leaving aside the fact that in 1968 the youthful Robson was still in short trousers, or perhaps even still a twinkling in his father's eye, in 1968 Lady Birdwood hadn't even begun reading anti-Semitic propaganda, much less distributing it. And as neither Robson nor his governor have commented publicly on the incitements to murder

published in *Class War* and *Green Anarchist* you are invited to draw your own conclusions about the relative importance, in their eyes, of taking the piss out of Jews and inciting the murders of policemen.

## Geoffrey Bindman

Geoffrey Bindman is Gerry Gable's lawyer; one of the top lawyers in the country he also has a long track record of stirring shit in the so-called race industry. It was probably for this reason that, along with a barrister, he was sent to Israel by the Law Society to report on human rights abuses. The legal profession is a traditional Jewish stronghold, and there can be little if any doubt that the Machiavellian schemers at Woburn House did their best to get a sympathetic ear on this two man commission. "We know you'll do a good job, Geoffrey." Nudge, nudge, wink, wink, and all that. Unfortunately for the servants of Imperial Zion, Bindman has rather more integrity than his venal co-racialists, and he and his partner, barrister Bill Bowring, turned in a report comparing Organised Jewry's beloved Israel with South Africa under Apartheid! The *Jewish Chronicle* was not amused, and in its issue for December 16, 1994, the paper claimed Bindman had been conned by anti-Israel "propaganda".

Commendable as is Bindman's integrity and totally non-partisan approach to race relations - the rights of non-Jews are every bit as important to him as the rights of Jews - it is a pity nevertheless that he doesn't seem the think that policemen have the same rights. Why not drop him a line at Bindman & Partners, 275 Gray's Inn Road, London WC1? Better still, why not give him a ring?

## Neville Nagler

While Bindman is a misguided idealist, Nagler is a little shit. This scumbag has a long history of sticking his nose in the trough of "anti-*racism*". On March 14, 1995, he was one of the people who put his name to a letter to the Secretary of State for the Environment asking him to evict the British National Party from their Welling "bunker" during the inquiry into its supposed improper use. When the BNP asked for a copy of the letter, the council blacked out large parts of it because, they said, they couldn't send anything defamatory through the post! (18)

Nagler's defamation doesn't stop at the BNP; it was him along with his hatemongering co-racialist Mike Whine (19) who gave "evidence" to the Home Affairs Committee on so-called racial attacks on December 8, 1993, on behalf of the Board of Deputies of "British" Jews. There can therefore be no doubt that Nagler not only knew that I had served a libel writ on Gerry Gable, but that he knew the reason. Nagler also sits on the so-called Runnymede Commission on Antisemitism. You don't have to be Slipper of the Yard, Sherlock Holmes, or any manner of detective to trace the trail of evidence here leading from my pamphlet *Charity Begins At Home* to the Runnymede Commission's grotesquely inaccurate report.

In other words, Nagler knew damn well that I had been attacked by left wing "anti-*racist*" thugs, and he went ahead and libelled me (or at best held his tongue while his friends did) in the full knowledge that this could well incite further violence against me. The fact that he ignored my letter is, I think, proof that he thinks the same about you as he does about me. Remember that next time you're raiding somebody's house on a race act warrant, boys and girls. To this little shit, only Jewish suffering counts.

## Ned Temko

Temko is the editor of the *Jewish Chronicle*. Although it styles itself the "Organ of British Jewry", this newspaper is regard with some contempt by devout Jews, and rightly so. Temko himself is in fact another unwanted foreign import, this time from the USA. As the editor of this paper, not only has this little shit been responsible for libelling me to high heaven on at least three occasions, he is in a position as much as any of the others in this list of shame to draw the attention of the Jewish public to genuine hate literature. As usual though, cartoons taking

the piss out of Jews are far more outrageous to these grubby little Zionist scumbags than direct incitements to murder police officers. In other words, boys and girls, fuck you.

## Dr Anthony Kushner

Dr Anthony Kushner is by far the most vile of the whiners and wailers on this list. Who is he? He is an academic: formerly Parkes Fellow, now Marcus Sieff Lecturer in Jewish/non-Jewish Relations in the Department of History, University of Southampton. Kushner is the author of several books, including one called *The persistence of prejudice: Antisemitism in British society during the Second World War*. (20) The theme of this book, is, of course, aren't we Jews wonderful, and isn't everyone who doesn't like us evil and bigoted? Kushner's most recent book though is about the perennial - and by now excruciatingly boring - subject of the Holocaust.

While it is only right and proper that significant events, including the mass murders of innocent civilians, should be documented thoroughly, one cannot help but wonder whether all this morbid brooding over death and destruction is conducive to the mental health of Jewish academics. It certainly isn't for Kushner's, because his book *The Holocaust and the Liberal Imagination* (subtitled *A Social and Cultural History*) which reared its ugly head in late 1994, doesn't simply blame the Nazis for the (alleged) attempt to exterminate the Jews of Europe, but everyone else.

At one point in his book, Kushner refers to a Holocaust survivor, Kitty Hart, who was interned at Auschwitz. The reader is told that in 1979, after returning to Auschwitz, Mrs Hart made a TV documentary called, appropriately, *Return to Auschwitz*. Kushner describes this documentary as "important and harrowing". (21) [We will return to Mrs Hart shortly]. At another point Kushner waxes lyrical about the *lack* of anti-hate legislation in Britain. In his words: "The liberal British state has refused to protect one of its most vulnerable minorities...Individual liberty has been put on a higher plane than the sensitivities of those who have suffered some of the worst abuses of the twentieth century."

Well, that's good news, you might say. After all, Britain's police are one of this country's most vulnerable minorities. Hardly a day goes by without a report of some police officer or other being seriously abused, beaten up, scarred for life, or even murdered. Recall too the list on page 1 of this pamphlet. Surely Dr Kushner is referring to Keith Blakelock, who was sadistically hacked to death, or perhaps to the victims of the Braybrook Street Massacre. Perhaps you're thinking: "Even if he didn't reply to your letter, Al, surely his thoughts are with us." 'Fraid not, boys and girls. Dr Anthony Kushner isn't talking about protecting police officers. He isn't even talking about protecting Jews. What he is talking about, is protecting liars. Permit me to explain.

## Lies From 1945: Now You See It, Now You Don't

If you turn to page 24, boys and girls, you will see a photograph of a gas chamber. We've heard a lot about these gas chambers in recent years, and about the so-called Holocaust Revisionists who say they never existed. Well, here is a gas chamber, so the Revisionists are exposed as the liars they are. Right? Hold on, not so fast! This photograph appeared in a book called *LEST WE FORGET*, which was published by the *Daily Mail* newspaper in September, 1945. The photograph, on the next page shows the victims of this gas chamber. See the caption: "*SOME OF THE GASSED...DACHAU*". What could be clearer than that? When the American 7th Army liberated Dachau concentration camp, they took these photographs and exhibited them for all the world to see. On page 5 of this book, *Lest We Forget*, the reader is told that this book "may well play a useful part in the re-education of the Germans." And so it should, shouldn't it? Well, yes, it should, but for one tiny point, this *gas chamber* never existed, and it isn't only the Revisionists who say so, but the official Holocaust Archive, Yad Vashem, in Israel.

Don't take my word for it, turn to page 27 and look at the ringed quote. This is from the *ENCYCLOPEDIA of the HOLOCAUST*, Editor in Chief, Israel Gutman, published by Macmillan, New York, (1990), Volume 2, page 685.

Read that quote carefully, boys and girls: "...when some witnesses let their imagination run free and incorrectly claim that the DACHAU concentration camp had working gas chambers, the revisionists pounce on this discrepancy..."

Hmm, sounds familiar, doesn't it? "Honest guv, I thought it was my car, it looks dead like it. Of course I can explain these skeleton keys; I found them in the car park, I was just on my way to hand them in."

Yeah, sure, let's see how that fits in here: "Honest guv, I thought it was a gas chamber. It bloody well looks like a gas chamber. It's the sort of mistake anyone could make. You wouldn't claim I made it up, would you? Why guv, only an anti-Semite would suggest such a thing. Shame on you."

Again, don't take my word for this, boys and girls; check out the facts for yourself. There is a copy of the *Encyclopedia of the Holocaust* in Westminster Central Reference Library; you should also find it in many major borough and county libraries.

# The Truth About The Dachau Gas Chamber

The truth about this gas chamber is as follows. During World War Two, typhus was rampant in Eastern Europe, and to some extent penetrated Germany. Typhus is a particularly nasty disease which, thankfully, we in the West don't see anything of today. Like typhoid and cholera, it is prevalent where there is war, primitive sanitation, and the breakdown of public utilities. Typhus was one of the scourges of history. In November 1741, Prague was surrendered to the French army because 30,000 of the opposing Austrian army had died of typhus. (22) Typhus was rampant during and after the First World War too. According to one estimate, between 1917 and 1923, 30 million cases of typhus resulted in 3 million deaths in European Russia alone. (23)

Typhus is transmitted by lice; lice infest people's clothing, and their hair. (This was the reason concentration camp inmates had their heads shaved). When prisoners arrived at the concentration camps, they were disinfected, and so were their clothes. New arrivals were showered while their clothes were deloused. Barracks were also fumigated with Zyklon B. Zyklon B is a powerful, cyanide-based chemical that kills vermin. It was used by the German military as early as 1924. (24) Hydrocyanic acid has actually been in use as an insecticide since 1886, and for the destruction of vermin since 1898. It is also used (in fairly large quantities) to fumigate ships and mills. It was first used on a large scale for killing rats in ships at New Orleans in 1914. (25)

The photograph on page 24 is thus not of a gas-chamber but of a delousing chamber. In his book *AUSCHWITZ: Technique and operation of the gas chambers*, the French anti-Revisionist Jean-Claude Pressac says that "It is quite obvious that, in 1945, American war correspondants [sic] could easily be misled because of their lack of information..." (26) Bollocks. All experience shows that war correspondents mislead rather than are misled.

There were said to be gas chambers in which human beings were gassed, at Auschwitz and at other camps which were liberated by the Soviets at the end of the war. These gas chambers were said to be enormous affairs; there were no less than nine of them in Auschwitz. Allegedly. (27)

# The Truth About Dachau And Belsen

Dachau was liberated by the Americans; Belsen was liberated by the British. When the British arrived at Belsen they found ten thousand bodies lying around the camp. These were people who had died from typhus and other diseases, and from mass starvation. The starvation may have been partly due to the rampant typhus. Oscar Schmitz, one of the defendants at the Belsen trial (who was acquitted), said that at least one lorry from a bakery was prohibited from entering the camp because of the typhus. (28) According to the Kommandant, in February 1945, spotted fever (ie typhus) broke out at the camp and he closed it but was ordered by Berlin to keep it open. (29) It was because of this, and the fact that countless sick, dying and dead people were sent to the camp as the defeat of Nazi Germany was imminent, that the conditions in Belsen were so terrible. (30)

When the British arrived they sent in troops, medical personnel...and cameramen! Clever propagandists were then, and for many years, able to portray Belsen as an extermination camp, but this is simply cynical propaganda, because when one studies the academic literature it becomes obvious that Belsen was not an extermination camp. Nor was it claimed that there were gas chambers in Belsen. There was a crematorium, but clearly this was grossly inadequate. (31) Dachau was a different kettle of fish though.

There was typhus and starvation at Dachau as well, and there were the aforementioned delousing chambers. However, when the Americans arrived, they sent their cameramen into the camp and had them photograph the

delousing chamber, which was palmed off on the world as a gas chamber. They also had the audacity to photograph an ordinary shower and present this as a gas chamber too. (32) This may sound incredible but it is true nevertheless. To this day the Department of Photographs at the Imperial War Museum has photographs of Dachau's make-believe gas chamber. For example, photograph KY 65496 is captioned "Gassed prisoners, awaiting burning, are piled nearly to the roof of the crematory."

These photographs are "CERTIFIED AS PASSED BY THE SHAEF CENSOR". And "When Americans entered the camp...[t]hey discovered gas extermination chambers, incinerators full of naked bodies, bodies marked for dissection and the bodies of several small children." Sure they did!

According to the official history of Dachau, there was a *real* gas chamber in the camp, but it was never used. (33) After the end of the Second World War, a few people who weren't taken in by the Allied propaganda began poking around; one of these was Paul Rassinier, a Frenchman who had been interned at Buchenwald. Another was a courageous Jew, Josef Ginsburg (J.G. Burg). It was the work of these two men that largely paved the way for today's Revisionists.

Rassinier was a particular embarrassment to the establishment, and his work was the subject of legal proceedings on more than one occasion. (34) However, in 1960, Dr Martin Broszat of the Institute for Contemporary History im Munich, wrote a letter to the magazine *Die Zeit* in which he endorsed Rassinier's thesis. In November 1979, the Jewish author Gitta Sereny published a lengthy article in the *New Statesman* attacking Revisionism, and in this article she claimed that the purpose of Broszat's letter was to "hammer home, once more, the persistently ignored or denied difference between concentration and extermination camps." (35)

Let us assume then that this was indeed the case, for if that were so, then Organised Jewry in Britain, South Africa, and elsewhere, have some explaining to do.

# The Board Of Deputies Of Holocaust Liars

The Board of Deputies of "British" Jews claims to represent all Britain's Jews. (36) However, it certainly doesn't represent the Ultra-Orthodox, who walked out in the early seventies. (37) In reality, although the various departments of the Board do a great deal of mundane work, this organisation is first and foremost the propaganda arm of the World Jewish Congress, and owes its first loyalty to political Zionism and the State of Israel. It should be noted here that among the Ultra-Orthodox, the State of Israel is regarded as an apostasy because it can only be established by the Messiah, a claim that was never made even of Yitzhak Rabin.

The Board of Deputies exercises enormous political power, in particular it was largely responsible for the initiation of the recent "war crimes" legislation which led in July 1995 to an old man being charged with the murders of four unknown Jews in Russia in 1941 and 1942. (38)

The crimes 84 year old Szymon Serafimowicz are alleged to have committed are not well documented, and it is doubtful if any meaningful evidence could be adduced to bring a successful prosecution. On the other hand, the crimes of the most notorious war criminal of the Nazi era still at large are well documented. But this man will never be charged with war crimes, much less convicted of them, because he is a Jew, the former Prime Minister of Israel Yitzhak Shamir; the victims of this war-time terrorist in Palestine included Lord Moyne, British Minister for Middle East Affairs; and six sleeping British paratroopers. (39) The Board of Deputies maintains a discreet silence about Shamir, and any *goy* foolish enough to raise the subject is smeared from pillar to post as "anti-Semitic".

The Board of Deputies has also played an enormous - and totally malignant - role in the drafting of Britain's Draconian race laws. In spite of this, it has, however, not been able, yet, to impose a ban on Holocaust Revisionism. What it has done though is spread its lies and propaganda throughout academia and the media, and smeared as anti-Semitic - for the most successfully - any *goy* who has had the temerity to challenge these lies. The mischief-making of the Board of Deputies of "British" Jews has been complemented elsewhere by other powerful, totally ruthless, and equally mendacious Zionist organisations. In particular, in Canada, the United States (40) and South Africa. (41)

# Lies From 1963

In January 1960, a man named John Alldridge published a series of articles in the *Manchester Evening News*. These were written as letters to his daughter Jane. They dealt with certain (alleged) aspects of Nazism, including the Dachau gas chamber - the one that didn't exist, remember? The letters of John Alldridge are very emotive, they have a poetic quality, but as I'm sure I needn't tell a police officer, a crime report is not poetry, and that is what Mr Alldridge's letters purport to be. Obviously somebody at Woburn House liked them, so in 1963 they were republished by the Board of Deputies as a pamphlet called *Letters To My Daughter*. (42)

As Martin Broszat's "no gassings in Dachau" letter was published in *Die Zeit* three years earlier, (43) and as Organised Jewry are extremely well informed on all matters relating to the Holocaust, the Board of Deputies have no excuses whatsoever for publishing outright lies such as appeared in this pamphlet. Let's take a look.

On pages 5-6, Alldridge carps on thus: "...for all to see, was the room where the victims undressed, the gas chamber itself with the peep-hole through which the operator watched for the last death agony so that he could switch on the electric fan to clear the air of its deathly fumes..." This may be poetry, but it certainly isn't history. It is possible, indeed it is likely, that Alldridge himself believed he was giving a true description here of actual historical events, but as stated, the Board of Deputies have no such excuses because they have research facilities that are second to none.

In Appendix C we reproduce too a page from the Board of Deputies' pamphlet. Doubtless your police training will have alerted you to the fact that this is the same photograph as appeared in *Lest We Forget*. The same photograph is also reprinted by Professor Butz in his book to silence all but conscious liars. The caption on the door is not clear here, but Professor Butz translates it thus: "Caution! Gas! Life danger! Do not open!" (44)

All this is conceded now, albeit only in the academic literature, and even then with much wailing and gnashing of teeth. In other words, the Board of Deputies of "British" Jews lied through their teeth about this "gas chamber", and they are still lying now. In the words of Charles Peguy: "He who does not bellow the truth when he knows the truth makes himself the accomplice of liars and forgers." (45) The Board of Deputies haven't just held their peace, they have endorsed these lies and foisted them onto a credulous public. They have also manufactured and promoted similar lies for their own nefarious purposes, in particular the destruction of individual liberty and the terrorising of the native-born majority as part of their fanatical hate campaign against Western civilisation. And after these Draconian Zionist-inspired race laws have been forced (or sneaked) through Parliament by this filthy cabal and their lowlife friends in high places, who are the mugs who are going to enforce them? You!!

# Lies From 1978

As stated, it isn't only in Britain that the servants of Imperial Zion spread their lies and disinformation. Thirteen years after the publication of John Alldridge's fanciful pamphlet, the South African Board of Jewish Deputies published another tissue of lies, and this time it was their own lies rather than somebody else's. The background to this story is as follows. By the early seventies a great many people had become more than a little suspicious about incessantly recycled atrocity propaganda from the Second World War. Many asked the entirely reasonable question: "If the Dachau gas chamber was a fraud, how do we know that the Auschwitz gas chambers weren't also frauds?"

Most people were satisfied with - or rather terrified of - the inevitable answer: "Only an anti-Semite would ask such a question."

Not everybody was so easily intimidated though, and in 1974 an anonymous pamphlet called *Did Six Million Really Die?* (46) was distributed by the (pro-Nazi) Historical Review Press. In November 1974 this was reviewed favourably by the monthly periodical *Books & Bookmen*. (47) This pamphlet - which was denounced as Nazi propaganda - set off a chain of whining and wailing by Organised Jewry in the British media. The pamphlet made its way to *racist* South Africa where the South African Jewish Board of Deputies decided to do more than simply call for it to be banned, (48) and in October 1976 two of its good ol' boys published a book called *Six Million Did Die* (with shrill insistence).

The second edition of this book made its way to Britain, and in the early 80s the current writer picked up a copy in a London bookshop. The full credits for this piece of whining, wailing sophistry and lies are *SIX MILLION*

*DID DIE the truth shall prevail: NEW EDITION WITH FURTHER FACTS*. It runs to some 137 pages and was written by Arthur Suzman and Denis Diamond, two leading South African Zionists. It was published at Johannesburg in 1978 under the auspices of the South African Jewish Board of Deputies. The book is (supposedly) "DEDICATED to THE MEMORY of THE MARTYRED MILLIONS".

As a martyr is someone who dies for his beliefs this would imply that the Jews who were murdered by the Nazi régime died for their own beliefs, which is at once at variance with both the mass media and mainstream academia's usual claim, namely that they died for Hitler's belief in the Master Race and the desirability of a world controlled by a race of blond-haired, blue-eyed supermen. (49)

It would be tiresome to catalogue all the lies Suzman and Diamond repeat in this book, so we will concentrate on two: the Duchau *gas chamber* and the lie of deliberate starvation. On page 122, the authors reproduce two photographs. One is captioned *Bodies piled high in the Dachau crematorium.* The other is captioned *Victims of the Dachau gas chamber lie piled to the ceiling in the crematorium.*

Now there is a very good reason these bodies were piled high in the crematorium: because they were dead! As stated, and this is not now disputed, most of these people died of typhus and/or starvation. Not because they were gassed. The reason there were so many bodies in the crematorium is because prisoners were dropping like flies and the small crematorium couldn't keep pace with the death rate. This was why the Nazis built so many crematoria at Auschwitz, and even then at one point bodies had to be burnt in open pits. (Cremation, or some sort of burning, is the best way of disposing of large numbers of disease-ridden corpses swiftly and hygienically).

One of the authors of this book, Arthur Suzman, is a lawyer, and he has submitted what is known in legal parlance as a rolled-up plea. On page 128 is a photograph of a large number of skeletal men lined up naked and obviously posed for the camera. This photograph is captioned "*A policy of deliberate and systematic starvation.*" The rolled-up plea here is that a) the Nazis gassed Jews and b) if they didn't gas them they starved them to death. And c) if they didn't do either, they were such thoroughly evil bastards anyway that it's excusable to invent any lies about them on the grounds that in the struggle against eternal anti-Semitism anything goes. In fact, the mass starvation occurred only at the end of the war, only in selected camps, and did not affect all the inmates in even these. There was certainly food available, but there were often severe logistical problems with regard to its distribution. (Recall the testimony of Oscar Schmitz).

Suzman and Diamond's lie about a policy of deliberate and systematic starvation is refuted by the official Calorie count for the concentration camps. At Auschwitz it was 2,150 per day for those doing hard labour; 1,738 for the rest. Prisoners actually received a maximum of 1,744, while the worst treated got 1,302 Calories. (50) Insufficient though this was, it was considerably more than the German people themselves existed on after the war! In his 1950 book *Decision In Germany*, the American general Lucius Clay (who had been Military Governor during the occupation), revealed that in July 1945, rations were set at 950-1150 calories per day, about half what was needed to support a working population and a third of what Americans were getting! In practice only about 950 calories per day were distributed. In August 1945, the official ration was fixed at 1,550 Calories for the normal consumer. (51) To add substance to this claim, Clay published a photograph of a German child in a Berlin hospital which was dying of starvation. It looks like a Belsen propaganda photograph! (52)

After using this sophistry and conning a number of academics and other notables into endorsing the authors' lies, lawyer Suzman concludes: "Freedom of expression, though fundamental, is not absolute. It entitles to criticise and to question - it does not entitle to pervert the truth. It does not entitle, by falsehood and deceit, to inflame racial animosity." (53)

This idea of free speech to say whatever Jews permit us to say may seem novel to you, boys and girls, but to those of us who have been long involved in the fight against the Zionist menace it is nothing new. Don't forget though that according to Britain's top Jews, freedom of speech *does* entitle people to gloat over the murders of police officers, and even to *incite* such murders. And in the case of Centerprise Bookshop, to distribute such incitements with *public money*. (54) Largely as a result of the publication of this lie-ridden book and the other incessant lies and lobbying of Organised Jewry, the pamphlet *Did Six Million Really Die?* was banned in South Africa in 1976. The story behind this is extremely interesting; it was reported in the September-October issue of *Patterns Of Prejudice*, the wailing-and-gnashing-of-teeth theoretical journal of the London-based Institute of Jewish Affairs.

According to the article in question, the pamphlet was first banned in June 1976 on the grounds that it incited racial hatred [sic] against the minority everybody supposedly has nothing better to do than to hate. "The evidence supporting the ban was collected by the S.A. Jewish Board of Deputies." (55) An appeal against the ban was lodged the following August, but was later withdrawn. According to Suzman, "The evidence was so overwhelming that the appeal could not have stood up to scrutiny for one moment. But we would have welcomed the judgment of the

appellant tribunal which would have expressed the facts." (56) The article adds that this *evidence* was later published as *Six Million Did Die*.

There have long been moves afoot to ban the "Harwood" pamphlet, and similar literature, here. (57) If and when such a ban does come into force, boys and girls, remember this, it will not be to protect innocent Jews, but to protect damned liars like that smurfing bastard Arthur Suzman and his equally venal co-racialist Denis Diamond. You will see from the above that their *evidence* was a tissue of lies, but anyone who dares to mention this above a whisper will be smeared from pillar to post as "anti-Semitic". Bear that in mind next time you catch a Jewish burglar bang to rights, or a Jewish con artist in the act of kiting a cheque. If he screams "anti-Semitic", will you let him go?

Bear in mind too that although Suzman's and Diamond's sleight of hand has long been imitated by Organised Jewry in Britain, it will not be used to stem the outpourings of fanatical police haters like the Green Anarchist and Class War organisations. There will be no laws passed to protect you, one of the liberal British state's most vulnerable minorities. Neither the leaders of Organised Jewry nor its rank and file give a fuck about you. Now let us return to the UK, and Dr Anthony Kushner.

# Kushner's Chutzpah

Recall the words of this scumbag: "Individual liberty has been put on a higher plane than the sensitivities of those who have suffered some of the worst abuses of the twentieth century." Recall also his claim that in 1979, Auschwitz survivor Kitty Hart made a TV documentary that he described as "important and harrowing". What Dr Kushner neglected to tell his readers though is that Mrs Hart is at best a sincere fantasist, and at worst a blatant and quite shameless liar. As well as making a TV documentary, Mrs Hart is credited as the author of two books which relate her experiences in the mill of death.

These books are *I am alive*, which was first published (by Abelard-Schuman) in 1961 with a revised edition published in 1974; and *Return to Auschwitz*, which was first published (by Sidgwick and Jackson) in 1981. Presumably this second book was based largely on the aforementioned 1979 documentary. It was ghost-written or written in collaboration with a professional writer named John Burke. As there are more than a few passing similarities between the two books, one is entitled to ask if the first book, *I am alive*, is entirely the unaided work of Mrs Hart, or did she perhaps have more than a little technical assistance in concocting her fantasies? The second book, *Return to Auschwitz*, is actually subtitled *The remarkable story of a girl who survived the Holocaust*. As we will demonstrate now, Mrs Hart's story is not so much remarkable as unbelievable.

# Kitty Hart At Auschwitz: A Policeman's View

The police have a duty to investigate all allegations of crime, however far out they may be. If your station is contacted by a man who claims to have seen his next door neighbour burying a body in his back garden, your boss will send somebody round to investigate. However, if the person making the complaint is a known nutter with a long record of making false allegations of crime against innocent people, the police will be a little more circumspect. They may for example warn the informant that if his story is demonstrably false he will be prosecuted for wasting police time, or perhaps something more serious.

On the other hand, if an apparently sincere person reports a crime that he or she has heard reported only as scurrilous gossip, the police will pay no attention to it at all. Politicians are often the but of scurrilous and totally unfounded rumours which are started by their political enemies, sensation seeking tabloid journalists, cranks, and all manner of people for all manner of reasons. Imagine you were on duty at the House of Commons and somebody walked up to you and told you he'd heard secondhand that a politician - Gerald Kaufman perhaps - had been abusing young boys. Would you investigate this *allegation* or tell him to clear off? You'd tell him to clear off of course, and you wouldn't allow the totally irrelevant facts that Kaufman is unmarried and that his homosexual personal assistant was found dead in mysterious circumstances a couple of years ago, cloud your judgment.

Okay, that's the score, now let's apply some of that policeman's logic, skepticism and investigative skills to Kitty Hart's Auschwitz memoirs, shall we? Here we go then, from the 1961 edition of *I am alive*:

On page 11, Hart says she had just finished the first year of Grammar School in the summer of 1939, which would make her about 14 years old when she was deported along with her mother in March 1942. This is an impressionable age.

Page 46: "The other prisoners informed us that there was a Concentration Camp in Auschwitz, known as the 'Extermination Centre No 1'." This was when she was en route to the camp and is therefore hearsay at best: the other prisoners told me. In point of fact, these *extermination* were supposed to have been carried out in secret, so the Nazis would hardly have set up an extermination centre and called it an extermination centre. Auschwitz I was actually called the *Stammerlager*. Probably Hart - or her ghost-writer - made this up after her book was commissioned.

On page 69, she reports a death toll of 400 per day in most blocks during the typhus epidemic. She may have exaggerated the actual death toll, but even if only 400 people died a day in the whole camp the Nazis would certainly have needed a lot of crematoria to dispose of so many bodies. There was a typhus epidemic at Belsen, remember. The main reason Allied propagandists were able to portray Belsen as an extermination camp was because people were dying so fast that the crematorium couldn't keep up with burning all the bodies, and as the SS staff deserted with Germany collapsing into chaos, the prisoners were left increasingly to fend for themselves.

Pages 71-2: Hart reports being disinfected - smeared all over with green fluid and showered, then a naked roll call. It sounds like they bathed in swarfega! Unpleasant as this may have been, it was obviously a necessary precaution to prevent people dying of typhus. Evidently these precautions were not very successful if the death rate she reports is even partially accurate, but at least the camp authorities tried.

On page 75 she reports concerts in the sauna. Yes, no kidding, boys and girls. This is the mill of death, remember, and during the bloodiest war in history a bunch of Jewish girls are staging a concert in the sauna! On the previous page, she even reports that Hungarian prisoners staged a ballet!

Now we come to one of the gory bits, on pages 85-6 Mrs Hart says: "I raised my head and there not fifty yards away was a sight that staggered me. I had seen much, but never, never anything like this. I stood as if hypnotized. I could not move. I was actually witnessing with my very own eyes a murder, not of one person, but of hundreds of people, innocent people who had been led, mostly unsuspecting into a large hall. This was a sight that could *never* be forgotten. On the outside of the low building a ladder had been placed which reached up to a small opening. A figure in SS uniform climbed up briskly. At the top he pulled on a gas mask and gloves. Holding the opening with one hand, he pulled a bag out of his pocket, and swiftly threw the contents, a white powder, inside, shutting the opening immediately...the most terrifying screams echoed through the air, the desperate cries of suffocating people. I stood holding my breath, my hands pressed against my ears, but the cries were so loud, one would have thought the whole world must be able to hear them." In five to eight minutes it was all over.

Like the nonsense of John Alldridge, the above passage may be poetry, but one thing it most certainly isn't is a crime report. You will see from the diagram in Appendix D that the women's camp was not exactly within spitting distance of the crematoria, (Hart claims she saw this from her window). Furthermore, Mrs Hart expects us to believe that one SS officer had in his pocket a bag of Zyklon B crystals that were powerful enough to gas hundreds of people in less than ten minutes, and that she could hear them screaming from where she stood. Pull the other one, it's got bells on it.

Page 92: "I think our main fight was for sanity..." In view of the above, one is entitled to conclude that in her case this fight was not successful.

Page 94: "Ginger stood staring at Crematorium II. Soon, red flames poured out of the chimneys." This is a right load of old bollocks. Anyone who has ever seen actual photos of these chimneys will realise this instantly. They were massive, and it would have been physically impossible for flames - red or otherwise - to be emitted from the tops of them.

Page 104: "it was the sight of the little babies thrown into the bonfires without the detour of the gas chamber that always made me feel sick." Mrs Hart doesn't say where, when and under what circumstances she saw these terrible murders, for the obvious reason that this passage is pure fantasy. Presumably if she had seen such sights, others would have too. One might imagine that the mothers of these young babies, and their fathers, would have protested against this, or even that there would have been riots. No, nothing like that happened because this atrocity happened only in Mrs Hart's head.

On page 105 she carps on thus "The *Sonderkommando*, with whom there was secret contact, told of the inside of the crematoria. The 'hairdressers' shaved the bodies of all hair, for it was required for the manufacture of rope...From the bodies soap was being made..."

Nonsense upon nonsense. In the first place we have already seen that new arrivals were shaved because of the risk of typhus. (58) The lie about soap being manufactured from bodies has long been refuted; (59) it has its origins in World War One atrocity propaganda which was directed against the beastly Hun. (60)

Pages 105-6: "One afternoon while we were inside the sauna taking showers, there was a strong smell of gas. Was this perhaps our imagination?" It was her imagination, or perhaps somebody farted.

On page 106, Mrs Hart relates a simply unbelievable tale: "It often happened that when doors were opened too early, some people were still alive. Once, to the horror of the men of the *Sonderkommando*, they found a tiny baby alive when all the others were already dead. It lay sucking its dead mother's breast and probably sucked all the time and so had not inhaled the deadly gas fumes. SS man Wagner, who was there, was furious and, picking up the little baby, threw it into the blazing oven."

When I first read this passage I took this to be an eyewitness report; a second reading exposes it as hearsay. As stated, at the time, Kitty Hart was a young girl. It is unlikely that she heard this story in Auschwitz; most probably she picked up on it after the war, or it may even have been concocted by her ghost-writer in order to beef up an otherwise boring book. Whatever, the questions you should ask yourselves boys and girls are: how come a woman was allowed to smuggle a baby into the gas chamber sucking at her breast, for surely it would have been snatched from her and thrown onto one of the aforementioned bonfires? How could a baby have survived a gassing, for surely a very young baby would be more easily *exterminated* by breathing cyanide gas than its mother? Did SS man Wagner march immediately into the chamber, which would have - by all accounts - contained hundreds, or perhaps a couple of thousand bodies? Was he looking for survivors? If he was, why didn't he dispatch the baby with a bullet, or dash its brains out on the wall, as other SS men were supposed to have done instead of taking it all the way to the crematory?

Frankly boys and girls, you'd have to be as thick as pigshit to believe a story like that. If you were running a criminal investigation you'd tear such allegations to shreds under cross-examination. But if Dr Anthony Kushner has his way, you won't be allowed even to interrogate obvious liars like Mrs Hart, because to even suggest that she was less than truthful will become a criminal offence! For the record, Kushner also wants to build a Holocaust museum in Britain so that he and his co-racialists can continue to shove the lies of Kitty Hart and company down our throats. Incidentally, a lot of Britain's more devout - and respectable - Jews (61) are totally opposed to this idea and to the commercialising of the Holocaust. (62)

One more of Mrs Hart's fantasies, then we'll return to the real world. On pages 106-7 she reveals that a woman in the crematorium recognised her own son and ran to him. (He was stacking wood). And: "He handed her a towel and soap, and joined her inside the gas chamber. There were a thousand such incidents."

What a load of bollocks. The only place *incidents* like this occurred is in Mrs Hart's head. And in the heads of other, sick, twisted, anti-German propagandists, and hatemongers. (63) Keep taking the tablets, Kitty, and keep raking in the royalties from your lie-ridden books. We would like to point out here that Kitty Hart's book is by no means exceptional but is typical of the testimony of all former Auschwitz inmates and other Holocaust survivors. For example, the former *Sonderkommando* Filip Müller, who claims to have spent three years working in the gas chambers, wrote in his book: "From time to time SS doctors visited the crematorium....Like cattle dealers they felt the thighs and calves of men and women who were still alive and selected what they called the best pieces before the victims were executed. After their execution the chosen bodies were laid on a table. The doctors proceeded to cut pieces of still warm flesh from thighs and calves and threw them into waiting receptacles. The muscles of those who had been shot were still working and contracting, making the bucket jump about." (64)

While the Tony Kushners of this world are happy to palm off such garbage on the credulous public, even anti-Revisionists admit privately that they are embarrassed by this sort of *eyewitness* testimony. Thus the French anti-Revisionist Jean-Claude Pressac said of Müller's piece of literary trash that: "it is at the limit of credibility. Filip Müller is an important witness, but in choosing to describe material and precise facts in a book and in 1979 (1st German edition) he has accumulated errors, thus making his account historically dubious. The best approach is to read it as a novel based on true history." (65)

## Lies From 1995

January 1995 saw the fiftieth anniversary of the liberation of Auschwitz, and much whining and wailing by Zionist and Jewish organisations and the media. The *Times* carried extensive coverage of this, as did all the mainstream

media: broadcast media, tabloids and "heavies" alike. The *Times* is of course no tabloid, rather it is one of the most prestigious newspapers in the world, and is indexed and held in probably every major research library throughout the English-speaking world. However, in its January 28 issue, part of its Holocaust coverage was a story (which appeared on page 13) called *Death camp's dark secrets still emerging*. This purported to reveal for the first time that the Nazis manufactured soap out of "Jewish fat" which was scraped from the chimneys of the Auschwitz crematoria. Let me quote from this article: "Yesterday, Andrzej Paluch, a sociology professor, explained why: the fat was made into blocks of soap and sold to Poles in the neighbouring town of Oswiecim." Professor Paluch is quoted thus: "It was known as RJF - Reines Jüdisches Fett - pure Jewish fat". (66) The professor said this was called *ryfka* by the Poles, and apparently the Poles used it because "It was the only soap we could get on our ration cards. There was no choice." (This was said to have been a typical response).

We've already discussed this human soap nonsense and reported the candid admission of Professor Yehuda Bauer, the world's leading Jewish scholar of the Holocaust (see footnote 59), and he says the story is a right load of old bollocks. So why was it published in a respected newspaper like the *Times*, and presumably countless other newspapers throughout the world? And why didn't Organised Jewry wail and whine over this libel on the German people the same way they did over the outpourings of Colin Jordan and Lady Birdwood? After all, this human soap libel was given international coverage and read by probably millions of people across the world, many of whom would have swallowed it whole, in comparison with the *samizdat* of Colin Jordan, which appeals only to a tiny dedicated hard core of Jew-haters, and the nonsense of Lady Birdwood, which goes straight in the rubbish bin 99% of the time? Why were there no protests, only silence? Why didn't Dr Anthony Kushner speak out? Because Organised Jewry don't give a monkey's that's why. Only anti-Jewish libels count for them. Again: "He who does not bellow the truth when he knows the truth makes himself the accomplice of liars and forgers."

# Organised Jewry's Plans For The Police

Remember that line from the song: "A policeman's lot is not a happy one". One reason a policeman's lot is so unhappy nowadays is because the police are being used increasingly as agents of political repression, something many policemen are already unhappy with. The most obvious example is the *Criminal Justice Act*. The purpose of the police, is, or should be, to deal with crime: crime prevention, crime detection and apprehension of criminals. Obviously, the police have other functions: missing persons have to be found, road accidents, demonstrations and football matches have to be policed, and on, but when the police are used as the strong arm of the state, especially when these strong arm tactics involve the tyrannising of dotty old women and embittered old men, the time has come to take a stand.

Anthony Kushner and his cronies at Woburn House, Furnival Street and New Cavendish Street (67) are all for banning the British National Party. Many violent extremist groups of the far left also call for the banning of the BNP. For the record though, in its September 1995 issue, the so-called Nazi BNP's newspaper *British Nationalist* condemned the *Class War* and *Green Anarchist* hate sheets and called for the prosecution of the bookshops that stock them. I wrote to the BNP's press officer Michael Newland at the same time I wrote to Kushner and his cabal. Unlike Kushner he was both shocked and disgusted, and in its September editorial, *British Nationalist* wrote: "Left-wing bookshops all over the country - some of them registered charities - now openly sell publications calling for the murder of police officers. Nothing is done despite complaints to both the police and MPs". The paper asks: "Why are the owners of these shops not prosecuted?"

Why not indeed? I'll tell you why, boys and girls, because the people who are running this country, and the slimeballs who pull their strings - the likes of Dr Anthony Kushner, Gerald Kaufman and Neville Nagler - have got far more important items on their agenda. Like the proscription of the BNP and raiding the homes of dotty old women. In short, they want to turn the police into a Jewish Gestapo.

Recall Kushner's words again: "Individual liberty has been put on a higher plane than the sensitivities of those who have suffered some of the worst abuses of the twentieth century." That doesn't mean you, boys and girls, it means damned liars like his co-racialist Kitty Hart. "The liberal British state has refused to protect one of its most vulnerable minorities". Again, that doesn't mean you. It doesn't mean the likes of PC Olds - crippled by a gunman; Keith Blakelock - hacked to death by savages; Laurence Brown, shot dead while pounding the beat. Kushner and the rest of Britain's top Jews don't give a fuck about you. Remember that next time you're raiding Colin Jordan or some other geriatric Nazi. And make sure *you* remember it next time you raid some poor sap's

flat and confiscate his computer at the behest of your masters, DS fucking Chainey. Remember it each and every one of you: Britain's top Jews don't give a flying fuck about the police. Like the rest of us, you're not worth a Jewish fingernail to them.

## Three Cheers For Sir Ivan

As always there is room for the honourable exception, and we will mention two of them here. Although he is a nominal member of the Board of Deputies of 'British' Jews, Sir Ivan Lawrence MP is best known as a Tory hardliner. As well as a QC and a Recorder he is Chairman of the Home Affairs Committee. Unlike Dr Anthony (protect us damned liars) Kushner and Gerald (fuck you, PC Plod) Kaufman, Sir Ivan has a proper sense of priorities and told the current writer in a letter dated 9 June 1995 that he would refer this sewage *Class War* and *Green Anarchist* to the Home Affairs Committee. We trust this has now been done, but no action has yet come of it. Perhaps you should give Barbara Mills a kick up the arse, Sir Ivan?

For the record, Sir Ivan also spoke out in the Private Lee Clegg affair, and said it was absurd and grotesque to compare his case with that of IRA assassins. Sir Ivan is reputed to be no friend of the *gay* "rights" brigade either, nor of the far left generally. The October 28 1995 issue of *Socialist Worker* called him barbaric because of his hard line on phony asylum seekers. (68) [Colin Jordan take note!]

The one criticism we would make of Sir Ivan - and it is a serious one - is that he has allowed the wool to be pulled over his eyes by a lying, scheming, so-called Jewish anti-fascist whose organisation presents itself to the world as combating the fascist menace when in reality it has, over the years, run at least three *agents provocateurs* inside far right "hate" groups. One of these so-called hate groups is the British National Party. Obviously as a Jew Sir Ivan is wary of any organisation which has (we are told) Nazi connections, but he has more in common with the BNP than he thinks: both he and the BNP are against illegal immigrants (so-called asylum seekers), they've neither got any time for queers, and also, like Sir Ivan, the BNP finds it reprehensible that bookshops - at least one of them a registered charity - should stock anti-police and anti-judiciary hate literature.

## Organised Jewry Versus Michael Howard

In view of the likes of Gerald Kaufman and similar kosher scumbags who infest the Corridors of Power bending the will of the government to do their evil bidding, one might think that having a Jewish Home Secretary would be bad news. However, just as Sir Ivan puts Queen and Country above service to Imperial Zion, so does Michael Howard. Howard has in fact not only been an exemplary Home Secretary but a pillar of resistance to the filthy cabal at Woburn House, and is that rare creature an honest politician. In 1994 he changed his mind about capital punishment in the wake of the Birmingham Six acquittals. (69) How many times have you heard of a politician not only changing his mind but admitting he was wrong? The only mistake Howard has ever made in his tenure is his endorsement of identity cards, a mistake he can be forgiven for, and one which many *goy* politicians have also made. (70)

In October 1993, Howard told his hatemongering co-racialists to go to hell when Lord Lester, "one of the architects of Britain's Race Relations Act", told him that it was time to destroy what little freedom of speech and dissent remains in Britain on race issues. (71) In March 1995 he turned down a request by the Board of Deputies for a government hand-out for "urgently needed communal security equipment". (72) To protect them from the likes of Colin Jordan and Lady Birdwood, no doubt. Most recently (November 24, 1995) he told the European Parliament to get stuffed as well as the Board of Deputies and the Institute of Jewish Affairs when they insisted that a law should be passed making Holocaust Revisionism a criminal offence. Nice one, Michael.

Another scumbag who has persistently dogged Howard is Shadow Home Secretary Jack Straw, a self-styled "Jew". In June 1995 Straw told the *Jewish Chronicle* that he was in favour of stronger action against "incitement to racial hatred". (73) In practice that would mean more repressive (so-called) anti-hate legislation, and more indoctrination of the public and the police. And more dancing to the tune of Organised Jewry and their "anti-*racist*" friends instead of tackling real crimes.

In October 1995, Straw slagged off Howard to the *Caribbean Times*, whining to the paper that "It's despicable in any circumstances, but particularly for Michael Howard who shares at least this with me: he is the son of Jewish immigrant [sic] and I am the grandson of a Jewish immigrant." (74) Straw is right of course that this country would have been poorer for excluding Howard's parent, but it would have been by far the richer if it had excluded Straw's grandparent.

As stated, Straw is by far the only "Jew" who has got it in for Howard. It is probably no coincidence therefore that the best Home Secretary this country has had for many years has been plagued with one crisis after another, the most recent, at the time of writing, being the manufactured crisis in the prison service where a senior prison service official tried to blame Howard for the escape of three high security prisoners from Parkhurst Prison. The allegation was ludicrous, but no more ludicrous than Kitty Hart's baby in the oven fantasy. Whether or not Howard's enemies at Woburn House succeed in getting him the push, he won't remain Home Secretary for ever, and if the people of Britain vote in a Labour government at the next election, we face the unenviable prospect of Jack Straw taking his place, and doing his best to crawl up the arsehole of every "ethnic minority" in sight. Especially those who believe the lives of police officers aren't worth a Jewish fingernail.



Left: Neville Nagler, a top Jew who has long had his unwanted proboscis stuck in the trough of "race relations"; the plans he and his fellow schemers at Woburn House have for you are simple: to turn you into a Jewish Gestapo that will do their bidding and terrorise anti-Semites - in reality, anyone who refuses to kiss the arse of Imperial Zion or who challenges their officially sanctioned lies.

Centre: Ned Temko, editor of the *Jewish Chronicle* and another unwanted foreign import. His gutter rag regularly smears patriots, *racists* and opponents of Jewish power as anti-Semites.

Right: Michael Howard, the best Home Secretary this country has had this century. Is it really a coincidence that he has been at the centre of one scandal after another, or have the Learned Elders at Woburn House got it in for him because he has refused to bow to racial and religious blackmail and grant Jews special privileges?

# What You Can Do

**This publication has been mailed out to selected police stations and officers, mostly in Greater London. I'd like to send a copy to every police station or even to every officer in the country, but my resources are limited. If you would like your brother or sister officers to receive copies, send a donation to the address on the back of this pamphlet (cheques made out to ITMA, cash at sender's risk), and I promise to mail out at least one pamphlet for every donation received. If you would like a copy sent to a named officer, a named politician, or anyone else, send the details with your donation.**

**We also stock a number of publications which expose the Zionist menace, and various publications on a number of other, interesting subjects. If you would like to receive a publications list, send a self addressed envelope (preferably with a donation) to the same address.**

**If you would like to do something effective to stamp out both the lies of Organised Jewry and the "anti-*racist*" hate campaign against the police, there are a number of things you can do. One is you can purchase and distribute our publications; another is you can raid shops which stock genuine hate literature, and impound it. Another is you can do what Organised Jewry do and lobby for a change in the law. Specifically you can lobby to remove Britain's repressive so-called race relations legislation, and to pass meaningful laws against incitement. What kind of law is it that makes it a criminal offence to call a black man a nigger or a Jew a Kike yet turns a blind eye to direct incitements to murder police officers? (75) Indeed, the law doesn't simply turn a blind eye to such incitements, it actually allows sickos to make such incitements with charitable (tax exempt) status, and to pay for them out of the public purse. Including your taxes. Did anyone ever hear of such lunacy?**

**Who gives a flying fuck if a few halfwits take the piss out of Jews now and again? It's not Organised Jewry who are being murdered, mutilated and battered out on the streets, it's you. In the wake of the sickening murder of PC Keith Blakelock in the Broadwater Farm riot, one so-called "anti-*racist*" politician said that the police had received "a bloody good hiding". There were no calls for him to be prosecuted for incitement, and very little condemnation of his attitude. He is now a Labour MP. (76)**

**Yet another thing you can do is put the investigation of criminal offences into a proper hierarchy of priorities. Why should you bust a gut trying to track down the publishers and distributors of (supposedly) anti-Jewish cartoons and the like? Surely there are more important crimes to solve.**

**Organised Jewry never stop yapping about "anti-Semitism" as though this were Nazi Germany. What are the facts? There are a mere 300,000 Jews in the United Kingdom, (77) yet there are no less than twelve Jewish Conservative MPs. (78) At the time of writing, both the Home Secretary and the Foreign Secretary are Jewish, as is the Lord Chief Justice and many members of both the judiciary and the legal profession. Jews are also prominent in the media - on which they exert considerable influence - and in business. Obviously there are no barriers to Jewish advancement in Britain, no more than the rest of us suffer, and considerably fewer than most of us. The greatest social divide now - and has been for decades - is neither race nor religion, but money. So no legislation is needed in this field either to protect Jews or anybody else.**

**Where are the pogroms? Where are the hate campaigns? Where are the Jews who are being dragged screaming from their houses or synagogues and thrown onto bonfires? How many Jews are murdered by fanatics every month? Every year? The reality is that the main pastime of so-called anti-Semites is to send leaflets through the post poking fun of the minority everybody supposedly loves to hate. And the main reason they do it is to make Jews scream, and let's face it boys and girls, nobody screams either so loudly or so frequently with so little justification as these overgrown children.**

**Obviously if someone is attacked or a cemetery is desecrated or something, that is a real crime and should be methodically investigated, but next time you're called in to investigate a *hate* crime against Jews or anyone else, and it turns out to be some halfwit sending (supposedly) anti-Jewish cartoons through the post, bear this in mind: Britain's top Jews don't give a fuck about you. Why should you dance to their tune?**

**It's worth bearing in mind too that the only meaningful hate crimes against Jews that have been perpetrated in this country, within living memory, have been by Middle East crazies, and they have themselves to blame for that, largely. If it weren't for all the hysteria about *racism* which they and their friends have generated, there would be far fewer immigrants in this country. A lot of these immigrants - including *asylum seekers* - aren't just here illegally, they're up to no good. And many of these *asylum seekers* and others came here not because they were persecuted in their own countries but because they were wanted for bona fide reasons. (79) We've now got every loony terrorist group under the sun in Britain, and especially London, from the South American Shining Path to Islamic Jihad.**

**And again, it has been largely Jewish mischief-making that has been responsible not just for these groups coming here but for their being able to operate more or less openly, and for any legitimate criticism or concern about their activities being dismissed as *racism*.**

**In the few cases in which illegal immigrants are rounded up and sent back, who carries the can? Don't forget the Joy Gardner affair, boys and girls. I wouldn't like to comment on what actually happened in that case, but if this woman hadn't been allowed into the country in the first place, she would still be alive now, and your fellow officers wouldn't have been put on trial for allegedly killing her.**

**Returning to Organised Jewry, another reason that Jews are often hated is because some of them deserve to be hated; if Organised Jewry foist repressive and totally unnecessary laws onto this country then they deserve to be hated as much as any other group of tyrants. Unfortunately, as with the Joy Gardner affair, it isn't only Jews who will be hated, but the mugs who do their dirty work. You. You've seen here what damned liars these people are and what they're doing to this once great country. The only reason they've been doing it is because they've been allowed to get away with it, and they've been allowed to get away with it simply because they've got more money than anybody else, more slimy friends in high places, and because they pull wires, and lie, scheme and cheat more efficiently than any other pressure group or lobby. And when they're caught at it, as they frequently are, they scream "anti-Semitic".**

**It was "anti-*racists*" not the BNP who threw bricks at the police in Welling in October 1993; it wasn't the BNP but left wing shit-stirrers who were behind the Brixton and other riots, and it was the social climate these people created that led to you getting "a bloody good hiding" at Broadwater Farm, and to Keith Blakelock having his head hacked off. Finally, it's not the BNP and other "nationalist" organisations which stock newspapers that incite the murders of policemen. Britain's top Jews don't give a fuck about you, and neither do the vested interests they ally themselves with. Why should you dance to their tune?**

# Appendix A: Correspondence With Gerald Kaufman MP

**Gerald Kaufman MP,**
**House of Commons.**

**93c Venner Road,**
**Sydenham,**
**London SE26 5HU.**
**0181 659 7713.**

**May 22, 1995**

**Dear Mr Kaufman,**

**Although you are not my MP, I am writing to you for reasons that will soon become apparent. This letter concerns the wilful incitement of hatred and murder. This is all the more serious because it is directed against a) members of the judiciary; b) police officers, many of whom have been murdered in the execution of their duty; c) a named individual, your colleague Michael Portillo.**

**You will find enclosed with this letter the following:**

**a) the two relevant photocopies from *Class War*.**
**b) the relevant photocopy from *Green Anarchist*.**
**c) a photocopy from *Searchlight*.**
**d) my letter to the Police Commissioner.**

**You will doubtless recall that in 1991, after the authorities had received a complaint concerning the distribution of (supposed) anti-Semitica by Mr Colin Jordan - Britain's longest running Nazi and an avowed anti-Semite - the said gentleman was raided in connection with the cartoon (item c). As a result of publishing this one poxy cartoon, Mr Jordan endured 18 months of state harassment. In the end he only got his property back and had all charges against him dropped because of a technicality. Although some people may well find this cartoon offensive, (as you did, undoubtedly, though personally I find it rather puerile), I cannot for the life of me say that I consider it likely to incite hatred against anyone. I am sure that you will agree one cannot say the same for the depiction of Mr Portillo, or for the gloating over the murder of three French policemen and the goading to further murders in relation to British police officers.**

**I have now written to the Police Commissioner twice in connection with these outrageous incitements, and have not received even the courtesy of a reply. (He has no excuse because my correspondence was delivered to New Scotland Yard by hand and copied to an Assistant Commissioner). I am therefore asking you to support me in my campaign to have both the purveyors of these pieces of filth: *Green Anarchist* and *Class War*; and the shops that stock them: Centerprise, Housmans, Bookmarks and Mushroom Books (among others) prosecuted.**

**I am sure you will agree that the direct incitement to murder of judges, policemen and named individuals is far more serious than the overt sarcasm of an embittered old man. I will be forwarding copies of this letter and your reply to both Mr Jordan and the British National Party, and posting them on the Internet, so that these sorely misguided people and the world at large can see exactly what you think of such despicable and cowardly behaviour.**

**I might add also that the shop Centerprise has charitable status and is funded by Greater London Arts and Hackney Council; I am sure you will agree that there is nothing the least bit charitable about any of this sewage, and that this shop should have its charitable status revoked.**

**I hope to hear from you soon, and trust that you will use all the weight of your office to bring about the rapid prosecution of all the parties named herein. Again, I stress that although you are not my MP I am writing to you**

**in an official capacity and hope that you will take immediate action. I would point out that I wrote to Mr Portillo who thanked me but didn't make any further comment. Obviously the poor man is embarrassed, and if I had stopped to think I would not have written to him. You though are not in that position, and I am sure that rather than embarrassed you will be outraged.**

**Yours sincerely,**
**A Baron**

**The reply of the Right Honourable Gerald Kaufman, M.P. was dated 24 May, arrived May 25, and consisted of two sentences on his official headed notepaper; that and my response are set out below:**

From: The Rt. Hon. Gerald Kaufman, M.P.

HOUSE OF COMMONS
LONDON SW1A 0AA

24 May 1995

Mr A Baron
93c Venner Road
Sydenham
LONDON
SE26 5HU

Dear Mr Baron

Thank you for your letter dated 22 May. I have taken note of its contents.

Yours sincerely

**Arsehole!**

**93c Venner Road,**
**Sydenham,**
**London SE26 5HU.**
**0181 659 7713.**

**June 5,**

**Dear Mr Kaufman,**

**Thank you for your letter of May 24 in response to my letter of May 22. I would be most grateful if you could let me know me what action you are taking to stem the dissemination of this grotesque hate literature, ie *Class War* and *Green Anarchist*.**

**Yours sincerely,**
**A Baron**

**This letter was unanswered. Wanker.**

# Appendix B: What Britain's Top Jews Think Of "Hate" Literature

## HARRY ROBERTS IS OUR FRIEND...

EXCLUSIVE!

I don't think much of heroes of any sort, but if I've got a soft spot for one individual, that man is Harry Roberts... So I was chuffed to bits to get an exclusive interview with "Britain's most wanted man".

In August 1966 Harry Roberts and two mates shot their way to fame by killing three West London coppers. It was "the crime of the century". The Metropolitan police shat their pants, and the press and MPs went into a total panic. After three months on the run Harry was eventually arrested and sentenced to a minimum of 30 years in a massive show trial.

Out on the streets it was a different story: Harry was already being celebrated as a working class hero. Two days after the shooting, Robert Murray attacked a policeman in Nottingham city centre, shouting "Get shot, you bastard!" When the cop tried to arrest Murray, a woman stepped out of the crowd and belted him with her handbag, yelling "Leave him alone!" In West London William O'Connell stood outside Shepherds Bush police station (the home of the three dead policemen) and taunted them: "We've had three... let's have four!"

And it's been the same story for years: on the terraces, on the picket lines, outside the pubs on a Saturday night, Harry Roberts has been a hero for thousands of us.

Now after 29 years in jail, he still shows no signs of giving in: there's no apologies.

Harry on cops: **"I believed I had a right to be a criminal. For a professional criminal like me, policemen were the enemy."**

Harry on prison: **"Prison psychiatrists don't understand me and they don't understand my crime. If I was a rapist I would be out of here in a flash. They want to break me and rebuild me in a way that suits them."**

Harry on class: **"I would never dream of robbing working class people, or hurting children or raping someone."**

That's exactly why the State keeps him banged up. He's working class and proud of it. He did the business and he won't say sorry. And he's kept his principles: rob the rich, not the poor. All together now: *Harry Roberts is our friend, is our friend, is our friend, Harry Roberts is our friend, he kills coppers...*

**Above: Harry Roberts is our friend; he kills coppers. Sewage like this is not only distributed freely in sick Britain but in some cases is funded by charitable donations and public money. The Attorney General looks the other way, and the CPS don't want to know. Above that: the cartoon that drove Organised Jewry into a frenzy and led to eighteen months of state harassment for an arthritic pensioner; the moral of this story is that it is a heinous crime to take the piss out of the Chosen Race, but fair game to incite the murders of policemen. Remember that next time you're doing these scumbags' dirty work, boys and girls.**

# Appendix C: The Dachau Gas Chamber Lie Exposed




GAS CHAMBER EXTERIOR . . . Dachau

Above: this photograph and the next were published by the *Daily Mail* in September 1945 as part of a campaign to "re-educate" the Germans. It's about time the British public was re-educated, but Organised Jewry and their slimy left wing friends are doing their best to prevent the truth from coming out.

. . . SOME OF THE GASSED . . . Dachau

**An American soldier examines the entrance to a Nazi camp gas chamber. It was "situated conveniently near to the crematorium."**

switch on the electric fan to clear the air of its deathly fumes, the adjacent crematorium, and the iron-wheeled stretchers by which the corpses were brought to the oven's mouth, the little room where bodies lay piled up ceiling-high and where the marks of their feet could still be seen on the plaster walls, the machine for grinding bones to make them into fertiliser for the adjoining farmlands, and the rooms where the ashes were stored.

As the visitor passed through these rooms and surveyed the scene of so much suffering and tragedy the stench of rotting bodies and the smell of burning flesh seemed to rise to his nostrils. As he came out into the clean, fresh air and raised his eyes towards the heavens to clear away this haunting vision of evil, what did he see?

Nailed to a pole on the crematorium roof a little rustic nesting-box for wild birds, placed there by some schizophrenic S.S. man.'

* * * *

THAT dreadful camp at Dachau still stands. It's not easy to find because it is off the main road and there are no sign-posts, and the local people won't help you because they are ashamed of the place and all it stands for.

Inside there is a small parking-lot and some trees and bright flower-pots. But walk a few yards from the parking-lot and you find yourself under the twisted branches of a leafless



**Above: a page from the reprint of John Alldridge's poetic but factually inaccurate letters to his daughter. In 1963, the Board of Deputies of Holocaust liars endorsed the Dachau gas chamber in the full knowledge that this lie had been laid to rest three years previously. Today this bunch of arch-liars and Machiavellian schemers are quietly lobbying the government and lying through their teeth to all and sundry in an attempt to make any questioning of their already officially sanctioned lies a criminal offence.**

Translation of the Broszat letter: (below): 'Neither in Dachau nor in Buchenwald were Jews or other prisoners gassed. The gaschamber in Dachau was not quite finished or set into operation. Hundreds of thousands of prisoners, who were sent to Dachau or other concentration camps in the territory of the old Reich, were in the main victims of catastrophic hygiene and supply problems: in the twelve months from July 1942 to June 1943, according to official SS statistics, in all the concentration camps of the Reich, 110812 persons from illness and hunger. The mass destruction of Jews by gassing started 1941/42, and many incorrigible self-teachers, who certainly possess the correct grand total, base themselves on arguments which are individually correct, but which by means of polemics have been torn from their contexts, in the consideration of which they hurry past, basing themselves on false or deficient information.'





nies given by non-Jews, and documents forwarded from the occupied countries during the war (especially from Poland), which provide a record of the events that were taking place from non-Jewish and sometimes even anti-Jewish sources, are rejected as biased and written under pressure from Jews. The revisionists ingeniously exploit any contradiction or distortion in the documentation. Thus, when some witnesses let their imagination run free and incorrectly claim that the DACHAU concentration camp had working gas chambers, the revisionists pounce on this discrepancy to assert that if some details in the evidence given by witnesses are incorrect, then the whole story of the Holocaust must be nothing but a pack of lies. Generally, however, the revisionists realize that such wholesale denials on their part weaken their case, and so they concentrate on seeking to discredit particular aspects. For example, Robert Faurisson has argued that it was impossible to use ZYKLON B gas regularly in one place, as

nization of sorts coordinating their activities, distributing books and pamphlets from country to country and from continent to continent, operating cells in different countries, and establishing channels to reach various sectors of the population. One of the revisionists' major problems is obtaining serious academic status for their arguments and their publications, so as to gain entry to universities and colleges and capture the attention of students and educators. Their center in California specializes in these efforts. It conducts international conferences of revisionists and seeks to have them invited to prestigious universities. The revisionist *Journal of Historical Review* is published in Torrance, California, with a format like that of an authentic scholarly journal. Other centers of revisionist activities are in Sweden, Germany, France, England, Argentina, and Australia.

The revisionists' motivations are varied. Some are Nazi activists who are using the denial of the Holocaust to repair the Nazi

Above: the gas chamber lie exposed by an official German source and by the *Encyclopedia of the Holocaust*. The fact that this - and other - lies have been repeatedly exposed doesn't stop them from being endlessly parroted by both Zionist and left wing propagandists.

# Appendix D: Plan Of Birkenau






Plan of Auschwitz II (Birkenau), late October 1944. Adapted from *The Death Factory*, by Ota Kraus and Erich Kulka (1966).

**The above plan of Auschwitz II (Birkenau) is taken from the *Encyclopedia of the Holocaust*. When one compares this and other diagrams with the testimonies of many survivors, it becomes obvious that very often what they claim to have seen cannot be reconciled with the documented facts. At the twenty month long Auschwitz show trial that was held at Frankfurt in the 60s, one of the defendants was acquitted when a site inspection proved that the *eyewitness* evidence against him was a pack of lies. (80)**

# A Song For Slime

**He's very good to us, the British copper,**
**Three cheers for "anti-*racist*" PC Plod;**
**We only have to tell the sap a whopper**
**For him to jump, and bust some Nazi sod.**

**That evil Colin Jordan's home was raided,**
**And so was Lady Birdwood's, at our whim,**
**All kinds of folk are gaoled, fined or upbraided,**
**But Plod, huh, we don't give two fucks for him.**

**When *Class War* incites the murders of judges,**
**We turn our backs and look the other way,**
**But when *we're* under fire, a few sharp nudges:**
**The Nazis are gaoled with a loud "Oy Vay!"**

**We have two fellows working flat out full time:**
**Nagler and Whine, to brief the CPS,**
**For when the law is slow to act on *hate crime*,**
**We call on Howard, feigning dire distress.**

**We'd really like to ban the Nazi liars**
**Who say it's not true we were turned to soap,**
**We don't always achieve our hearts' desires,**
**But Tony Blair and Jack Straw give us hope.**

**For though our digs at Howard sure annoy**
**The Tory bastard, he's a fucking smartie;**
**When Blair's in Number Ten, a *shabbos goy***
**Will do our whim: three cheers the Labour Party!**

**Meantime though, we will keep the pressure mounting**
**On Barbara Mills and on the Tory press;**
**On the SWP we're duly counting**
**To do our vile work when we feign distress.**

**Revisionism, our street thugs will silence,**
**The likes of Robert Faurisson will pay,**
**But when the far left is inciting violence**
**'Gainst the police, we look the other way.**

# Chaney of the Yard

7
HOME OFFICE
WE'RE SURE YOU AGREE WE NEED TOUGHER RACE LAWS.

8
COME COME MICHAEL YOU'RE ONE OF US
WHY?

9
AN M.P.?
TRY AGAIN MICHAEL

10
A CONSERV-ATIVE?
MICHAEL DON'T BE OBTUSE

11
WELL I'M NOT A CHILD MOLESTER
?

12
OI VEY ITS LIKE PULLING GOLD TEETH

# Notes And References

(1) According to a Channel 4 TV programme screened on September 24, 1995, fifty-eight British police officers have been killed in the line of duty since 1966. The programme did not make it clear if this excluded or included the three officers murdered by the Roberts gang.
(2) Mention of bombs brings to mind Northern Ireland. For reasons of space we have not included in this short study any of the countless police officers who have been murdered or wounded by terrorists there, though it goes without saying that the contribution of your fellow officers in Ireland - North *and* South - to serving the public, and in their own blood, is second to none.
(3) This information was taken from an Amnesty International press release (embargoed for 27 May 1993) which reported that since the previous December more than a hundred Palestinians had been shot and killed by the Israeli security forces, thirty of them under the age of seventeen! As Amnesty has a large number of Jewish supporters, it can hardly be branded anti-Semitic.
(4) *Anti-Israel pamphlet referred to law officer*, by Julian Kossoff, published in the *Jewish Chronicle*, September 10, 1993, page 12. (The quotes are mine).
(5) The article was called *Rabin wins support for torture*, and was filed by Ohad Gozani in Tel Aviv. It reads as follows:

THE Israeli opposition lent support yesterday to Yitzhak Rabin, the Prime Minister, in a row over authorisation to torture suspected terrorists during interrogation.

The debate flared again after allegations that the bombing of a bus in Jerusalem on Monday could have been averted had harsher interrogation methods been used against one suspect, who was already in custody.

Five people were killed, including the Palestinian bomber, and more than 130 injured in the blast.

"The Shin Bet [internal security service] must be given a free hand in its war against terror," - said Benjamin Netanyahu, head of the Right-wing opposition Likud party. Since the wave of suicide bombings by Islamic militants began last April, Shin Bet has been allowed to resort to methods other than "moderate physical pressure" to thwart attacks.

But the authorisation must be extended periodically. Mr Rabin is understood to back Shin Bet's demands for a free hand during
interrogation, something which is opposed by two dovish ministers and the government's chief legal adviser.

The issue is under discussion by a committe [sic].

The Shin Bet chief told reporters that the suspected planner of the Jerusalem attack was captured two days before the blast.

He said the man revealed the plan when interrogators were allowed to resort to *aggressive methods*. The suspect also admitted planning a bus bombing in Tel Aviv on July 24 that killed six people and injured 31.

(6) The headquarters of the Board of Deputies of "British" Jews.
(7) This pamplet is called *A VERY LIGHT SLEEPER: THE PERSISTENCE AND DANGERS OF ANTISEMITISM*, by the Runnymede Commission on Antisemitism, published by the Runnymede Trust, London, (January 1994). For an analysis and a refutation of the lies and smears in this pamphlet directed against myself and others, the reader is referred to *An Open Letter To Paul Boateng MP, Professor Akbar Ahmed, And The Right Reverend Richard Harries, And A Public Reply To The Ongoing Libels Of Organised Jewry, Including A Critique Of The Gratuitously Inaccurate Runnymede Commission Report On So-Called Anti-Semitism*, by Alexander Baron, published by InfoText Manuscripts, London, (February 1995).
(8) For the record, my pamphlet had rabbinic approval!
(9) This is the libel writ referred to in the previous paragraph.
(10) This is a homosexual bookshop that is notorious for stocking the vilest queer porn.
(11) The *Times*, September 29, 1982, page 2.
(12) See for example *Howard clashes with leading Jewish peer over racism*, by Bernard Josephs, published in the *Jewish Chronicle* October 15, 1993, page 40.
(13) For legal reasons I cannot go into details here, but if anyone wants further information they should telephone the London-based firm of solicitors Bindman & Partners, and ask to speak to Geoffrey Bindman.
(14) We stock a number of publications concerning the Searchlight Organisation which document how Gable's toadies have actually incited and committed crimes.

(15) The full, incredible story of this state-sponsored harassment of one old man is related in *THE LIFE AND "CRIMES" OF JOHN COLIN CAMPBELL JORDAN...*, by Alexander Baron, published by InfoText Manuscripts, London, (July, 1995).
(16) See Appendix A.
(17) *Violent fascism on the march in Europe*, by Chris Lloyd, published in the *Northern Echo*, October 25, 1991, page 10.
(18) I've seen a copy of this letter, and whatever one may think of the British National Party, it is clearly a case of the pot calling the kettle black.
(19) Yes, that really is his name!
(20) He is also a director of the misnamed Searchlight Educational Trust, another arm of the Searchlight Organisation.
(21) *The Holocaust and the Liberal Imagination: A Social and Cultural History*, by Tony Kushner, published by Basil Blackwell, London, (1994), page 262.
(22) *Rats, Lice & History: THE BIOGRAPHY of a BACILLUS*, by Hans Zinsser, published by Papermac, London, (1985), page 160.
(23) Zinsser, *Rats, Lice & History...*, page 213, (ibid).
(24) *AUSCHWITZ: A JUDGE LOOKS AT THE EVIDENCE*, by Wilhelm Staeglich, translated from the German by Thomas Francis, published by the Institute for Historical Review, Second edition, (January 1990), page 52.
(25) See entry for *CYANIDE* in the *ENCYCLOPAEDIA BRITANNICA: A New Survey of Universal Knowledge*, published by Encyclopaedia Britannica, Inc, Chicago, (1943), Volume 6, pages 914-5.
(26) *AUSCHWITZ: Technique and operation of the gas chambers*, by Jean-Claude Pressac, Translated from the French by Peter Moss, *Preface* by Beate and Serge Klarsfeld, published by the Beate Klarsfeld Foundation, New York, (1989), page 548.
(27) One in Auschwitz proper and eight in Birkenau. Most people think Auschwitz concentration camp was simply a big semi-open prison. In fact, Auschwitz I (*Stammerlager*) was a holding camp; Auschwitz II (Birkenau) was a work camp; Auschwitz III (Monowitz) was an industrial complex, and there were no less than 45 sub-camps.
(28) *TRIAL OF JOSEF KRAMER AND FORTY-FOUR OTHERS (The Belsen Trial)*, Edited by Raymond Phillips, Foreword by the Right. Hon. Lord Jowitt, published by William Hodge, London, (1949), page 293. Schmitz, an ethnic German, was a petty criminal and former concentration camp inmate who had ended up in the dock by mistake. He was cleared of any involvement in war crimes.
(29) Phillips, *The Belsen Trial*, page 153, (ibid). [Major Winwood is actually speaking here in his opening speech for Kramer].
(30) Again, according to Kommandant Kramer, over 500 of one transport of 1,900 were dead before they arrived at the camp. [See Phillips, *The Belsen Trial*, page 165, (ibid)].
(31) According to one witness, a gas chamber was being constructed in Belsen, but Kramer said that this was a hut building programme. [See Phillips, *The Belsen Trial*, pages 124 & 167, (ibid)].
(32) *The Hoax Of The Twentieth Century*, by A.R. Butz, Second Edition, published by Historical Review Press, Brighton, (1977), page 172.
(33) According to *DACHAU: The official history 1933-1945*, by Paul Berben, published by Norfolk Press, London, (1975), page 8: a gas chamber was said to have been commissioned in March 1942, final approval was given in July 1942. It was codenamed *Baracke X*, and "The building was after the well-tried 'classical' model: changing-room, fake shower-room, where the prisoners were given to understand they were to receive a *gutes Brausebad* but were gassed...However, the Dachau gas-chamber was never operated." Classical model, bollocks.
(34) Butz, *The Hoax Of The Twentieth Century*, page 11, (op cit).
(35) *The men who whitewash Hitler*, by Gitta Sereny, published in the *New Statesman*, November 2, 1979, pages 670-3. Sereny is quoting from a letter by Broszat. In her article she says this letter was written to *Die Zeit* in 1962; it was actually published in the August 19, 1960 issue under the heading *Keine Vergasung in Dachau*, ie *No Gassings in Dachau*, (see page 27).
(36) See for example *The Board of Deputies of British Jews annual report and accounts 1988*, page 6.
(37) *THE CLUB: The Jews of modern Britain*, by Stephen Brook, published by Constable, London, (1989), page 213.
(38) This was widely reported but see for example *Jewish Chronicle*, July 21, 1995, page 6. These were said to be specimen charges.

**(39) See for example *ISRAEL and the NEW WORLD ORDER*, by Andrew J. Hurley, published by Fithian Press/Foundation for a New World Order, Santa Barbara, (1991), page 45. These murders were carried out at the same time the British were saving the Jews of Europe from the *gas chambers*.**

**(40) The most powerful Jewish lobbying organisation in the United States is the Anti-Defamation League of B'Nai B'rith. In spite of its name, the principal role of this organisation is not to campaign against the defamation of Jews, but to defame others, in particular the Germans. It also smears Jewish anti-Zionist as self-hating Jews.**

**(41) We will discuss the role of the South African Board of Jewish Deputies shortly.**

**(42) The full credits are *"LETTERS TO MY DAUGHTER..."***
**by John Alldridge**
*...being a reprint of*
*three articles appearing in*
*the "MANCHESTER EVENING NEWS"*
*on January 23, 24, 25, 1960,*
*dealing with*
** The Myth of the Swastika*
** Hitler and the terror he*
*really stood for.*
** The Nazis and the unpleasant*
*truths young people should*
*know.*
**Reprinted with a Postscript 1963, published by the Woburn Press, London.**
**The Woburn Press is the imprint of the Board of Deputies.**

**(43) See Appendix C.**

**(44) Butz, *The Hoax Of The Twentieth Century*, page 191, (op cit).**

**(45) Cited by Philip J. Klass in *UFOs: The Public Deceived*, published by Prometheus Books, Buffalo, New York, (1983), page 282.**

**(46) The pamphlet was actually published under the pseudonym Richard Harwood. Subsequent inquiries revealed Harwood's true identity as Richard Verrall, a leading member of the National Front. According to my information, Verrall was not the sole author of this pamphlet.**

**(47) The reviewer was Colin Wilson, a writer on the occult - among other things - who is highly acclaimed but is really as thick as pigshit.**

**(48) *Racist* or not, the South Africans have never tolerated race hate literature; they once banned a book on Yasser Arafat because it was said to be offensive to Moslems! *Did Six Million Really Die?* hardly falls into the category of race hate literature though, except in the eyes of the servants of Imperial Zion and their lap-dogs.**

**(49) Anyone who has studied the history of Nazism and who knows anything at all about Hitler will realise that this story is in any case a complete load of old bollocks. Hitler was personally a great admirer of both the Arabs and the Chinese and a great friend of Japan. He was no more, and probably a lot less, *racist* than most white men of his era. It was only the Jews he hated.**

**(50) *FIGHTING AUSCHWITZ: THE RESISTANCE MOVEMENT IN THE CONCENTRATION CAMP*, by Józef Garlinski, published by Julian Friedmann, London, (1975), page 23.**

**(51) *DECISION IN GERMANY*, by Lucius D. Clay, published by William Heinemann, London, (1950), page 264.**

**(52) Clay, *Decision In Germany*, (ibid). This photo - a plate facing page 270 - is captioned "A German child, suffering from starvation and not expected to live, is cared for in a Berlin hospital."**

**(53) Suzman & Diamond, *Six Million Did Die*, page 73, (op cit).**

**(54) Incredible but true! Centerprise Trust (which owns the Centerprise Bookshop) is registered charity No 266396. According to its Financial Accounts from the year ended 31 March 1995, it received £147,154 from the London Borough of Hackney and £52,996 from the London Arts Board. The respective figures for 1995 were £154,739 and £51,485.**

**(55) See *action against the "Harwood" pamphlet: Nazism past and present*, published in *Patterns Of Prejudice*, Sept-Oct 1977, Vol 11, No 5, pages 19-20.**

**(56) *action against the "Harwood" pamphlet*, (ibid).**

**(57) In March 1982, the Institute of Jewish Affairs published a *RESEARCH REPORT* called *Making the Denial of the Holocaust a Crime in Law*.**

**(58) According to Ella Lingens-Reiner, a Viennese doctor who was also deported to Auschwitz, the heads of all the women except Germans were shaved and stuffed into sacks. If this is true then it was undoubtedly because**

there was little or no typhus in Germany until late in the war, although it would seem a sensible precaution to shave everyone's body hair under such terrible conditions as existed at Auschwitz.

(59) The human soap rumour appears to have originated in Lublin concentration camp at least as early as July 1942. In a personal communication dated July 6, 1995, Professor Yehuda Bauer of the Yad Vashem Archive told the current writer that "there was indeed an experimental production of soap and/or other products from the bodies of mainly, Polish and other Eastern slave-workers...the testimonies relate to the last months of the war..." Professor Bauer's claim is wishful thinking, and in any case does not relate to Auschwitz.

(60) In 1928, Arthur Ponsonby MP (later Lord Ponsonby) published a book called *Falsehood In Wartime* which exposed this and many other anti-German atrocity stories. It is still in print.

(61) It is really a matter of debate whether or not one can justly call Kushner and his ilk Jews. While he may be of Jewish origin he certainly isn't a practising Jew, and in spite of anti-Semitic stereotypes there are no proper racial criteria for Jewishness.

(62) This has at times been less sensationalistic than squalid. There has even been an opera made of the Holocaust. Yes, an opera!

(63) If you think I'm being a wee bit uncharitable, try the following offerings from a 1959 book called *AUSCHWITZ*, by one Otto Kurst:

Page 7: "The villagers mixed with the camp guards, ate with them, drank with them, slept with them. As wagons, filled with Jews on their way to the ovens, rolled past, children called out in jeering tones:
'Yah! Yah! Dirty old Jews! Off to the ovens - and good riddance!'"

Page 8: "Men like Hoess killed ten thousand human beings per day and thought nothing of it...If a Hoess succeeded in killing more men than his rivals in other extermination areas, he would ring up Himmler and report that fact, proudly, jubilantly and in the expectation of praise from his master."

Page 145: "From the bath-house, a long passage, with walls of high barbed-wire, led to the gas-chamber. On either side of these walls, stood guards armed with long whips - which they used against the naked prisoners who were shuffling slowly towards their death."

Yeah, sure.

(64) *Eyewitness Auschwitz: THREE YEARS IN THE GAS CHAMBERS*, by Filip Müller, Literary collaboration by Helmut Freitag, Edited and translated by Susanne Flatauer, Foreword by Yehuda Bauer, A Scarborough Book, published by Stein & Day, New York, (1981), pages 46-7.

(65) Pressac, *Technique And Operation Of The Gas Chambers*, page 181, (ibid). Pressac's book is written for "academics"; and is given away free by its publishers, self-hating German and self-styled Holocaust avenger Beate Klarsfeld and her French Jewish husband, Serge.

(66) The bars actually bore the letters RIF meaning "Reichsstelle für Industrielle Fetterversorgung", the German government outlet which oversaw the distribution of soap and detergent.

(67) The domiciles of the Board of Deputies of "British" Jews, the *Jewish Chronicle* and the Searchlight Organisation respectively.

(68) *Don't let Tories play the race card*, published in *Socialist Worker*, October 28, 1995, page 16.

(69) *Hanging lobby suffers biggest Commons defeat*, by Philip Webster, published in the *Times*, February 22, 1994, page 1.

(70) An article in the *Jewish Chronicle* of September 22, 1995 reported that the Board of Deputies of "British" Jews had voted narrowly in favour of endorsing an ID card scheme. Perhaps theirs should be stamped with the word JEW.

(71) *Jewish Chronicle* October 15, 1993, page 40.

(72) *Jewish Chronicle*, March 31, 1995, page 1.

(73) *Straw says Labour is 'tougher on racism'*, by Bernard Josephs, published in the *Jewish Chronicle*, June 16, 1995, page 10

(74) *Not a soft touch*, by Mark Henderson, published in the *Caribbean Times*, October 7, 1995, pages 10-1.

(75) Including black police officers. And Jewish officers too, DS Chainey.

(76) In case you haven't recognised him, his name is Bernie Grant. To be fair, "Barmy Bernie" has moderated his stance on racial issues somewhat in recent years, and now obviously regrets saying this, but Britain's top Jews don't give a fuck about you now anymore than they did then. It's their meddling in "race relations" and their infernal "tolerance" campaigns that helped to create the sort of social climate that led to the Broadwater Farm riot in the first place.

(77) *THE JEWISH YEARBOOK 1994*, Edited by Stephen W. Massil, published by Vallentine Mitchell and the Jewish Chronicle, Ilford, Essex, (1994), page 197.

**(78)** *Jewish Chronicle*, **June 30, 1995, page 9.**
**(79) A while ago a Channel 4 TV programme revealed that a number of Bangladeshis living in North London were implicated in war crimes - the mass murders of civilians - in Bangladesh in the 1970s!**
**(80)** *AUSCHWITZ: A Report on the Proceedings Against Robert Karl Ludwig Mulka and Others Before the Court at Frankfurt*, **by Bernd Naumann, Translated by Jean Steinberg, With An Introduction by Hannah Arendt, published by Pall Mall Press, London, (1966), page 421.**



**Published by InfoText Manuscripts,**

**93c Venner Road,**
**Sydenham,**
**London SE26 5HU.**
**England.**

**ISBN 1 871473 98 5**

**Copyright Alexander Baron, 1995 & 1996.**